

could influence the subjective quality of material textures.

Best Compression Method: The three compression methods are compared in terms of compression rates and subjective quality. The method of truncating data beneath the shifted threshold is clearly inferior to the other two quantization methods (Figs. 6 and 7). For the wood texture, the performances of the linear and log quantization methods are almost equal except at the compression level $L = 6$. For $L = 6$, linear quantization is superior to log quantization, since the subjective dissimilarities for linear quantization were smaller than those for log quantization (t -test, $t_0(7) = 6.03$, $p = 5.27E - 4$, two-tailed). For the sandpaper texture, for $L = 10$ (t -test, $t_0(7) = 3.21$, $p = 0.015$, two-tailed) and $L = 8$ (t -test, $t_0(7) = 3.08$, $p = 0.018$, two-tailed), the linear quantization method was better than the log quantization method, and no significant differences were observed at the other compression levels. Overall, considering the above comparison, the best of the three methods is linear quantization, followed by log quantization and truncation of data beneath the threshold curve.

Nonlinear Effects of Quantization: The experimental results showed that the subjective dissimilarities in the compressed textures did not change monotonically with the compression rates for either the wood or the sandpaper textures. For example, for linear quantization of the wood texture, the dissimilarity at $L = 8$ was unexpectedly small. Also, for log quantization of the sandpaper texture, the dissimilarity at $L = 6$ was not larger than those for $L = 8$ and $L = 10$. On the other hand, for the method of truncating data beneath the shifted threshold curve, the dissimilarities changed monotonically with the compression ratio. In the truncation method, the energy of the stimuli decreases with the compression rate, but this does not hold for the quantization methods; quantization can increase the energy of the stimuli. The relationships between the stimuli energy and the subjective quality of the compressed textures are unknown. However, non-monotonic changes in the energy might produce a texture that happens to be subjectively similar to the uncompressed texture.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The objectives of this study was to investigate the human perceptual properties of vibrotactile material textures. In particular, we experimentally investigated the effects of sub-threshold vibrotactile amplitudes and of changes in the amplitudes on perception. Conventional psychophysical approaches are not suitable for material textures that have many independent variables. We adopted lossy data compression of textures. Data compression manipulates many variables simultaneously while maintaining subjective quality, which is a suitable approach for stimuli in the real world. We applied three lossy data compression methods to the surface textures of a wood board and sandpaper: linear and log quantization of vibratory amplitudes in frequency space and truncation of data beneath the shifted detection threshold curve. Participants in the experiments rated the subjective similarities of these compressed textures compared to the uncompressed original textures. No significant differences in the ratings were observed until the number of quantization steps reached 12. Compression ratios reached 23.8% and 22.4% for the wood and the sandpaper, respectively, while maintaining the quality, which represents that the data size of the material textures can be reduced by up to approximately 75%. Furthermore, we showed that vibratory amplitudes smaller than detection thresholds could influence the perception of material textures. We conclude that the lossy data compression approach can reveal perceptual properties of vibrotactile material textures.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Luk, J. Pasquero, S. Little, E. MacLean, V. Levesque, and V. Hayward, "A role for haptics in mobile interaction: Initial

- design using a handheld tactile display prototype," *Proceedings of the ACM 2006 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 171–180, 2006.
- [2] S. Brewster, "Tactile feedback for mobile interactions," *Proceedings of the ACM SIGCHI 2007 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 159–162, 2007.
- [3] M. Fukumoto and T. Sugimura, "Active click: tactile feedback for touch panels," *Proceedings of ACM SIGCHI 2001 the Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 121–122, 2001.
- [4] I. Poupyrev, S. Maruyama, and J. Rekimoto, "Ambient touch: Designing tactile interface for handheld device," *Proceedings of the 15th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology*, pp. 51–60, 2002.
- [5] L. M. Brown, A. Sellen, R. Krishna, and R. Harper, "Exploring the potential of audio-tactile messaging for remote interpersonal communication," *Proceedings of The 27th ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 1527–1530, 2009.
- [6] Y. Ikei, K. Wakamatsu, and S. Fukuda, "Vibratory tactile display of image-based textures," *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, vol. November/December, pp. 53–61, 1997.
- [7] A. M. Okamura, J. T. Dennerlein, and R. D. Howe, "Vibration feedback models for virtual environments," *Proceedings of the 1998 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 674–679, 1998.
- [8] M. Konyo, S. Tadokoro, and T. Takamori, "Artificial tactile feel display using soft gel actuators," *Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 4, pp. 3416–3421, 2000.
- [9] D. Allerkamp, G. Bottcher, F.-E. Wolter, A. C. Brady, J. Qu, and I. R. Summers, "A vibrotactile approach to tactile rendering," *Visual Computer*, vol. 23, pp. 97–108, 2007.
- [10] K. Fukuyama, N. Takahashi, F. Zhao, and H. Sawada, "Tactile display using the vibration of sma wires and the evaluation of perceived sensations," *Proceedings of the 2009 IEEE International Conference on Human System Interactions*, pp. 685–690, 2009.
- [11] H. Z. Tan, B. D. Adelstein, R. Traylor, M. Kocsis, and E. D. Hirtleman, "Discrimination of real and virtual high-definition textured surfaces," *Proceedings of Symposium on Haptic Interfaces for Virtual Environment and Teleoperator Systems 2006*, pp. 3–9, 2006.
- [12] S. A. Cholewiak, K. Kim, H. Z. Tan, and B. D. Adelstein, "A frequency-domain analysis of haptic gratings," *IEEE Transactions on Haptics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 3–14, 2010.
- [13] M. Biet, G. Casiez, F. Giraud, and B. Lemaire-Semail, "Discrimination of virtual square gratings by dynamic touch on friction based tactile displays," *Proceedings of Symposium on Haptic Interfaces for Virtual Environment and Teleoperator Systems 2008*, pp. 41–46, 2008.
- [14] M. C. L. Miguel, A. Otaduy, "A perceptually-inspired force model for haptic texture rendering," *Proceedings of the 1st Symposium on Applied perception in graphics and visualization*, pp. 123–126, 2004.
- [15] R. L. Klatzky and S. J. Lederman, "The perceived roughness of resistive virtual textures: I. Rendering by a force-feedback mouse," *ACM Transactions on Applied Perception*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2006.
- [16] K.-U. Kyung, S.-C. Kim, and D.-S. Kwon, "Texture display mouse: Vibrotactile pattern and roughness display," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 356–360, 2007.
- [17] J. J. Collins, T. T. Imhoff, and P. Grigg, "Noise-enhanced tactile sensation," vol. 383, p. p. 770, 1996.
- [18] J. C. Makous, R. M. Friedman, and C. J. Vierck, Jr., "A critical band filter in touch," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 2808–2818, 1995.
- [19] J. C. Craig, "Difference threshold for intensity of tactile stimuli," *Perception & Psychophysics*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 150–152, 1972.
- [20] G. A. Gescheider, S. J. Bolanowski, J. J. Zwillocki, K. L. Hall, and C. Mascia, "The effects of masking on the growth of vibrotactile sensation magnitude and on the amplitude difference limen: a test of the equal sensation magnitude-equal difference limen hypothesis," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 96, no. 3, pp. 1479–1488, 1994.
- [21] T. D. Wickens, *Elementary signal detection theory*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2001.